

Collimation and Cleaning Systems of SIS18 and SIS100

GSI / FAIR Overview





Blue: existing machines Red: new machines

~1.2 billion € project

Relies on UNILAC/SIS18 as injector

FAIR is on the way!

•started officially in November 2007

•Upgrade of machines started earlier





Motivation





- Space charge limit N_{max}~A/q² _____ _
- Go to low charge states (U^{28+}) instead of U^{73+}
- Required number of particles for FAIR: 6*10¹¹ / cycle
- Achieved Intensities:





Introduction



 Observation of fast, intensity dependant beam losses during operation with low charge state heavy ions (U²⁸⁺) in synchrotron SIS18 (far below space charge limit). Strong pressure rise at the same time (> 1 order of magnitude).



Peter Spiller – 19.5.2008 - beta beams task meeting - Saclay

New effect?



- Seen in other accelerators before:
 - CERN
 - ISR 1974
 - PS
 - PS Booster
 - LEIR, limited intensity of Pb⁵⁴⁺ a factor of 10 below design
 - BNL
 - AGS Booster, Au³²⁺
 - AGS
 - Not in RHIC \rightarrow electron clouds pressure rise to 10⁻⁵ mbar
- What helps?
 - UHV upgrade (pumping power) reduces symptoms
 - Collimators in arcs (LEIR)
 - But: Vacuum in SIS18 is already very good (10⁻¹¹ mbar)

Charge exchange process



- A beam ion hits residual gas atoms/molecules and looses or gains one or more electrons.
- This beam ion is separated behind the deflecting dipoles from the reference ion by his different magnetic rigidity ($\delta p/p \cong q_0/q 1$, e.g. for $U^{28+} \rightarrow U^{29+} \delta p/p = -3.45\%$!) and hits the beam pipe.
- A shower of residual gas atoms/molecules is produced by ion stimulated desorption at a typical rate of $\eta \ge 10^4$ mol/ion.
- Self-amplification may lead to avalanche-like pressure rise and consecutive beam loss!



Collimator positioning in SIS18





Without collimators With collimators

- SIS 18, Section S02
- E = 11.4 MeV/u
- $U^{28+} \rightarrow U^{29+}$
- 2.500.000 particles
- Lattice is fixed
- Collimator position is predefined by dipoles.

Collimator positions in SIS18

• Transversal:

- Acceptance of machine can not be reduced!
- Optimise collimation efficiency for reference process $(U^{28+} \rightarrow U^{29+})!$
- Compromise always needed in existing machines!
- Optimal placement in lattice design of SIS100

Calculated for 10/12 installed collimators. Two sections of SIS18 are blocked by installations (extraction septum and electron cooler).







Lattice-Optimization: Principles

- Beam loss must be localised:
 - Machine protection Radiation demage
 - Activation
 - Sufficient space for a collimation system at loss position needed
- Charge exchanged particles should have a good separation from the circulating beam at the place of the collimator.
- Collimators should not reduce acceptance!







Separated!







- Starting point of lattice design: Triplett structure (like SIS18), described in the conceptual design report
- Would work, if all dispersive elements were in the first half of the cell.

SIS 100 Design I: Lattice-Choice



Vergleich alle Lattices

6 5 1







- FODO is quite common, but if the deflection angle of dipoles is large beam loss is not well concentrated.
- One half cell is ok, next one is bad.

SIS100: doublet lattice (DF order)





- Beam waist with good separation of U²⁹⁺ from U²⁸⁺.
 Charge spectrometer lattice.
- Enough space for a collimator in front of or inside the quadrupole doublet.

SIS100: Maximum collimation efficiency



- Collimation efficiency can be enhanced further by quadrupole defocusing!
- Collimation works (to a reduced degree) for multiple ionisation, too.





SIS18 Collimator system prototype



Circulating beam



- Absorber: Cu, Au-coated ~
- Secondary chamber
- As much pumping speed as possible
 - NEG-coating, where possible
 - Ionen-getter pump (not shown)
- Lots of diagnostics
 - Total and partial pressures
 - Ion current of U²⁷⁺ and U²⁹⁺
 - Temperature of absorber



moveable

SIS18 absorber geometry

Choice of material:

- Must stop heavy ions at energies of up to 200 MeV/u \rightarrow ²³⁸U²⁸⁺ range is ~ 1.5 mm (neglectible fragmentation, e.g. He up to 42 mm)
- Surface should be made of a material with a low desorption rate
- Desorption rate measurements and surface characterisation by ERDA (UHV-group H. Kollmus / M. Bender) 20 cm
- Result:
 - Cu core
 - Coating with a few 100 nm Au
 - Desorption rate is not 0!
 - In prototype: two geometries
 - Block better for effective desorption
 - Wedge better for control (desorption gases cos-distributed)



5 cm

Absorber: Surface



- ERDA measurements (Elastic Recoil Detection Analysis) at HLI of GSI (H. Kollmus / M. Bender) of 29.9.2007 with 1.4 MeV/u ¹³⁶Xe¹⁸⁺ (dE/dx similar to ~10 MeV/u ²³⁸U):
 - Au-coated surface has lowest desorption rate measured ever (under perpendicular angle of incidence)
 - ~ 90 mol/ion
 - ~ 25 mol/ion after thermal treatment / longer bombardment
 - Pure Au, mechanically treated: 1200 mol/ion (!)
 - 300 nm Au
 - 200 nm Ni as a diffusion barrier



Peter Spiller – 19.5.2008 - beta beams task meeting - Saclay

SIS100 Collimators



0.>m

Absorber



- Collimators do not reduce acceptance!
- No condensation of residual gas on absorbers!
 - Absorber-surface at ~50 K, chamber wall at 4.2...20 K
 - Need large absorber length to stop heavy ions and fragments (E=2.715 GeV/u, calculated with ATIMA)
 - U in Cu: 47.5 mm
 - He in Cu: 1.8 m

Residual gas pressure during experiments



651

beam

Charge exchange cross sections





Experimental: 1,4 MeV/u (GSI, Franzke), 3,5/6,5 MeV/u (Texas A&M, Olson)

Electron capture:

- Schlachter formula gives sufficient agreement, but fails for U²⁸⁺ (c.s. too high)
- Electron loss:

ne

ΛΛ

ne

Ŵ

- No empirical scaling law does exist
 - No high-energy experimental data exists
- Rely on theory:
 - R. Olson 2003
 - V. Shevelko 2007/2008

Sufficient agreement, but a factor of 2-3 too high for heavy targets. Not much experimental data available!

Difference between U²⁸⁺ and U⁷³⁺



<u>U²⁸⁺:</u>

- Loss dominated for E>10 MeV/u
- C.s. rather large at high energies

<u>U⁷³⁺:</u>

- Capture dominated until E~80 MeV/u
- C.s. vanish for high energies



Heavy residual gases have the largest c.s., so better pump them out!

Multiple Ionisation?





R. Olson 2003

- Same as for c.s.: Heavy residual gas atoms have largest amount of multiple ionisation.
- Collimation efficiency lower than for single ionisation!

More than one electron can be lost during a collision...

$$\Gamma_{pl} = \beta \cdot c \cdot \sum_{i} n_i \cdot \sigma_i(E, q),$$



GSÅ

Charge-exchange process



- Projectile-ionisation of the circulating beam by rest-gas particles
 - charge-exchange cross sections $\sigma(E, q, \Delta q)$ acc. to Shevelko or experimentally determined ~ $10^{-23}...10^{-21}$ m²
 - single- and multiple ionisation possible
 - − → desorption rate η_{2} ~ 2...3*10⁴ (depends on angle and energy)
 - ☑ collimation feasible

$$\Gamma_{PI} = \beta \cdot c \cdot \sum_k \sum_i n_i \cdot \sigma_i(E, q_k)$$

StrahlSim publication:

Charge change-induced beam losses under dynamic vacuum conditions in ring accelerators C Omet *et al* 2006 *New J. Phys.* 8 284 doi:10.1088/1367-2630/8/11/284





$$\Gamma(n_{tr}\beta,\sigma,t) = \sum_{i} [\Gamma_{CS}(n_{tr}\beta,\sigma) + \Gamma_{BI}(n_{tr}\beta)] + \Gamma_{RZ}(\beta) + \Gamma_{IBF}(\beta,\sigma,t) + \Gamma_{inf}(t) + \Gamma_{HF}(t),$$

Beam loss rate

 $\Gamma_{TI}(n_{i'}\beta) = \beta \cdot c \cdot \sum_{i} n_i \cdot \sigma_{TI,i}(q_i\beta).$

• Production rate of ionised residual gas

$$\begin{split} \dot{n}_{t} &= N \cdot \left\{ \mathbb{P} \left(n_{f'} \beta, q, t \right) \cdot \eta_{t, \mathcal{L}}(\beta) + \left[\mathbb{P}_{Bl} \left(n_{f'} \beta, \Delta q < 0 \right) + \mathbb{P}_{Tl} \left(n_{f'} \beta \right) \right] \cdot \eta_{t, \perp}(\beta, N) \right\} + \dot{n}_{t, \mathcal{A}}(T) \\ &- \dot{n}_{t, P} \left(n_{t'} T \right). \end{split}$$

• Dynamic vacuum pressure (here without collimators)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = -N \cdot \left[\sum_{t} [\Gamma_{CS}(n_{t}, \beta, \sigma) + \Gamma_{BI}(n_{t}, \beta)] + \Gamma_{BZ}(\beta) + \Gamma_{IBF}(\beta, \sigma, t) + \Gamma_{inf}(t) + \Gamma_{HF}(t) \right]$$

$$\Delta t = t_{rev}$$

• Numeric integration, turn by turn

Scaling of the desorption rate

- Experimental hints: Desorption rate scales with (dE/dx)² of the incident ion.
 - A. Molvik, Electrons and gas versus high brightness ion beams, 25th International Workshop on Physics of High Energy Density in Matter
 - M. Bender et al, Energy-Loss Dependence of the Ion-Induced Desorption Yield Measured with Ar10+ Ions at GSI-HHT

Molecule

 H_2

 N_2

02

Ar CO₂

H₂O *

CO

CH₄

Mass / u

2

28

32

40

44

18

28

16

Air / %

0

78,084

20,942

0,934

0,038

0 ... 4

0

0

• Implementation:

ph

R

 Calculate dE/dx with ATIMA and rescale desorption rate.



40

60

ion energy [MeV/u]

10000

(dE/dx)² [(MeV /(mg cm²))²]

0

20



Ar in stain ess stee

80

StrahlSim Code

Vacuum calculations

– Static

- p₀, S_{eff}, vacuum conductance, NEG coatings, cryogenic surfaces, residual gas components
- Dynamic (source of beam losses)
 - Synchrotron cycle
 - S_{eff,cold}(p, T): analytical model
 - Systematic losses (injection, RF capture)
 - **Projectile ionisation** $\sigma_{pi}(E, \Delta q)$ from Shevelko, Olson, collaboration with AP
 - Coulomb scattering
 - Target ionisation
 - Intra beam scattering
 - Ion stimulated desorption (desorption rate η scaled with $(dE/dx)^2$) couples losses to residual gas pressure rise
- Linear ion optics
 - · Loss distribution, catching efficiency
 - Reads and writes many formats (AML, MIRKO, MAD-X, WinAGILE)
- Benchmarked with many machine experiments and other accelerators









Longitudinal pressure profile





Section S01 of SIS18 at present and in future

- Needed for correction of measured pressures (pressure gauges are always situated beneath pumps, not at pressure maxima)
- Can be calculated by molecular flow method:
 - Raytracing of outgassing molecules until they reach a pump or pumping surface
 - No cunductance values needed
 - Full 3D, 4D (spatial+time dep.) possible, but very memory intensive: (N*N*M) N=Number of ion optical elements M=Number of time steps
 - Valid for UHV/XHV (always conductance limited, not pumping speed limited)
 - Need sticking factors for pumping surfaces



SIS18: Time dependant particle number (now)





December 2001 No acceleration, 8,6 MeV/u Base pressure ~3*10⁻¹⁰ mbar Extreme short lifetime!



- August 2007
- Ramp rate of 4 T/s
- Closed orbit corrected
- Enhanced pumping speed
 - NEG coating of one dipole- and three quadrupole chambers
 - Fired Ti-sub pumps

SIS18 Machine experiments





SIS18 Machine experiments: fast ramping

- Special operation mode for ramp rates of up to 10 T/s.
- Beam loss on ramp reduces, but others enhance...

Best transmission with 4...5 T/s (sufficient RF acceleration voltage)





UHV system upgrade, NEG coating

- beam
- Generation of extremly low static pressures of $p_0 < 5x10^{-12}$ mbar and increased average pumping speed by up to a factor of 100
- Stabilization of dynamic pressure to $p(t)_{max} < 10^{-9}$ mbar
- Removement of contamination with heavy residual gas components
- Replacement of all dipole- and quadrupole chambers by new, NEG coated chambers
- Improved bake-out system for operation up to 300K







Collimator prototypes manufactured





Installation of two prototype collimators completed in last shutdown!



Peter Spiller - 19.5.2008 - beta beams task meeting - Saclay

Residual gas pressure during experiments



(20.-22.02.2008, with 2 prototypes)



Surprise: Collimator block better than wedge!

Pressure rise in collimator chamber: Wedge: 3.30⁻¹¹ mbar Block: 0.63*10⁻¹¹ mbar

Measured desorption rates: Keil: >119 mol/Ion Block: >24 mol/Ion (!)

Global pressure dominated by other sections (e.g. injection)!



Ion current on absorbers

Block at normal position



First measurement of real lost beam ions!

Agreement with theory:

- More electron loss than capture
- Energy-falloff









Electron cooler in S10, electrostatic septum in S06

Peter Spiller – 19.5.2008 - beta beams task meeting - Saclay

SIS18: Time dependant particle number (future)



- Ultimative goal for FAIR: Accelerate $1.25*10^{11}$ U²⁸⁺ particles from 11.4 to 200 MeV/u and inject 4 cycles of them into SIS100 in ~1.3 s
- Simulations with STRAHLSIM before and after SIS18 Upgrade:
 - Enhanced ramping rate of 10 T/s
 - Reduced injection- and RF-capture losses
 - Enhanced pumping speed by NEG-coating of all dipole and quadrupole chambers
 - Collimator system



Peter Spiller – 19.5.2008 - beta beams task meeting - Saclay

SIS100: Time dependant particle number



If physics of simulation is OK:

Everything looks fine and quite relaxed (huge pumping speed of cryogenic surfaces pumps away 'everything')
Ionization losses < 1 % (4.7*10⁹ particles)
Pressure is more or less static
Are Collimators useless? Without error bars, yes, but...

SIS100: Time dependant particle number



If desorption rate is only a factor of 10 higher as assumed with (dE/dx)²-scaling law (e.g. cold surface, grazing angle of incidence):

•Pumping speed will be reduced by adsorbing more than a monolayer of desorbed gas in a quite short time – 2.8 days (!), not 1 month

•Ionization losses > 9.18 % (4.6*10¹⁰ particles) and increasing from shot to shot

•Pressure will be very dynamic

•Collimators are useful (not talking about other errors)

Peter Spiller – 19.5.2008 - beta beams task meeting - Saclay

Dear

Benchmarks at other accelerators: AGS Booster



- AGS Booster (BNL) with Au³²⁺-lons
- Electron capture dominated, but also restricted to ~6*10⁹ particles per cycle
- Good agreement of simulation with experiments



Desorption rate and beam life time test in AGS



- Au³²⁺ in BNL AGS 19.01.2008 (L. Ahrens, W. Fischer et. al.)
- Calculated desorption rate of $\eta = 24.000$ mol/ion at E=100...200 MeV/u
- Expected was $\eta \sim$ 4.000, but the AGS is not baked, so the desorption rate is higher as in SIS18.

Cryo-collimator Design Study in FP7



6 5 1



Sketch of a SIS100 Cryo-collimator



- Set-up of a prototype cryo-collimator for SIS100 with identical technical design
- Set-up of the prototype at a HE beam line at GSI and beam tests
- Measurement of dynamic pressure in the primary and secondary chambers
- Determination of desorption rate of cryogenic surfaces
- Temperature measurement of collimator and chamber
- Measurement of required cooling power at different beam loads with the goal to stabilize the intermediate temperature level of 50 K

Outlook



- In preparation
 - 10 series collimators
 - SIS18 Upgrade will bring further enhancements for better machine control
 - Reduction of heavy residual gas components
 - Prototype of cryo-collimator for SIS100
- Further research at GSI and other institutes, e.g. CERN
 - Materials and desorption (UHV group ERDA)
 - Simulation code STRAHLSIM

- ...

Summary



- Dynamic vacuum
 - Caused by charge exchange of low charged heavy ions at low energies (or beta decay)
 - All beam losses coupled to pressure by ion stimulated desorption
 - The specific desorption rate for baked, unbaked, warm, crogenic or coated surfaces has to be respected
 - SIS18:
 - Losses can be controlled partially: Collimator system, UHV, Injection- and RF Upgrade
 - Two prototypes manufactured and operating in SIS18 (Section S02, S03)
 - Simulation model does exist
- Other beam losses still exist at very high beam currents
 - Resonances, space charge
 - Closed orbit distortions
 - RF bucket area / UNILAC energy mismatch





Collimation may not be needed for magnet protection but eventually to supress the residual gas pressure dynamics



Uncertainties of simulation



- Desorption rate:
 - At highest energies still not known precisely
 - Desorption rates of various surface properties
- Pumping speed:
 - Huge in cold and NEG coated machines
 - Temperature: lots of cold-warm transitions
 - Unknown reduction of the sticking factor by changing from cryosorption to physisorption
- Cross sections for charge change:
 - Only theoretical estimates (AP, Shevelko et al.) available at these high energies, error bar at least 30%
 - Rates for beta decay well known
- Losses by other effects (resonances, higher order fields, etc.) not included!